

Γ -convergence of Integral Functionals Depending on Vector-valued Functions over Parabolic Domains

Huai-Yu Jian

(Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China)

ABSTRACT: We study Γ -convergence for a sequence of parabolic functionals, $F^\varepsilon(u) = \int_0^T \int_\Omega f(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \nabla u) dx dt$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where the integrand f is nonconvex, and periodic on the first variable. We obtain the representation formula of the Γ -limit. Our results in this paper support a conclusion which relates Γ -convergence of parabolic functionals to the associated gradient flows and confirms one of De Giorgi's conjectures partially.

KEYWORDS: Γ -convergence, parabolic-minima, nonconvex functionals, parabolic equations. ■

1991 MR Classification No.: 35B27, 49J45

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin with the characterization of Γ -convergence in [1, 2]. ■

DEFINITION 1.1. Let (X, τ) be a first countable topological space and $\{F^h\}_{h=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of functionals from X to $\bar{R} = R \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, $u \in X, \lambda \in \bar{R}$. We call

$$\lambda = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u)$$

if and only if for every sequence $\{u^h\}$ converging to u in (X, τ)

$$\lambda \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u^h), \tag{1.1}$$

and there exists a sequence $\{u^h\}$ converging to u in (X, τ) such that

$$\lambda \geq \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u^h). \tag{1.2}$$

We call $\lambda = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow a} F^\varepsilon(u)$ if and only if for every $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow a$ ($h \rightarrow \infty$)

$$\lambda = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u).$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that Ω is a bounded open set in R^n . Let $p > 1$, $T > 0$, and m be a positive integer. Denote

$$\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T), \quad V_p(\Omega_T, m) = L^p([0, T], W^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m)),$$

$$V_p^0(\Omega_T, m) = L^p([0, T], W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m)),$$

and

$$Du(x, t) = \nabla u(x, t) = \left(\frac{\partial u^i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)$$

for a vector valued function u .

Consider the functionals

$$F_1^\varepsilon(v, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, Dv\right) dx, \quad v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m), (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+) \quad (1.3)$$

and the corresponding parabolic functionals in the following form:

$$F^\varepsilon(u, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, Du\right) dx dt, \quad u \in V_p(\Omega_T, m), (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+), \quad (1.4)$$

where $f: R^{n+1} \times R^{mn} \rightarrow R$ is a *Caratheodory* function satisfying

$$C_1|\lambda|^p \leq f(x, t, \lambda) \leq C_2(1 + |\lambda|^p) \quad (1.5)$$

for some positive constants $C_2 > C_1$.

In 1979, E. De Giorgi [3] conjectured that when a sequence of functionals, for instance, the one in (1.4) or in a more general form, converges in the sense of Γ -convergence to a limiting functional, the corresponding gradient flows will converge as well (maybe after an appropriate change of timescale). Also [4, p.216] and [5, p.507].

In [6], the author proved the De Giorgi's conjecture for a rather wide kind of functionals. Thus, a natural question is that under what conditions, the functional sequence like (1.4) can be Γ -convergence.

A first result related to this question was appeared in [7]. Because the integrands in [7] have the same scale for the variables x and t , the methods there can't be applied to functionals (1.4) whose integrands are anisotropic in x and t .

In this paper, we will cleverly combine the arguments in [8, 9, 10], all of which study the Γ -convergence of elliptic functionals like (1.3) with the weak-topology of $W^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m)$, to prove that the Γ -convergence holds for the functional (1.4) under assumption (1.5) and a periodic hypothesis (see (1.8) below). For this purpose, we construct functionals as follows.

Let $Y = (0, 1)^n = \{0 < y_i < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $kY = (0, k)^n$, and $k_T = kY \times (0, T)$. For $\lambda \in R^{mn}$ and a.e. $t \in R$, define

$$\bar{f}(t, \lambda) = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{kY} \{ |kY|^{-1} \int_{kY} f(y, t, \lambda + D\phi(y, t)) dy : \phi \in V_p^0(k_T, m) \}, \quad (1.6)$$

where and below $|E| \stackrel{def}{=} L^n(E)$ and L^k is used to denote the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Obviously (1.5) implies that $\bar{f}(t, Du)$ is nonnegative and measurable, so we can define the homogenized functional

$$F(u, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, Du) dx dt, \quad u \in V_p(\Omega_T, m). \quad (1.7)$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. *If hypotheses (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied, and suppose*

$$f(y, t, \lambda) \text{ is } \bar{Y} - \text{periodic on the first variable } y, \quad (1.8)$$

then for every $T > 0$ and every bounded open set $\Omega \subset R^n$ with $L^n(\partial\Omega) = 0$

$$\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F^\varepsilon(u, \Omega_T) = F(u, \Omega_T), \forall u \in V_p(\Omega_T, m),$$

where τ is taken as the **sw**-topology of $V_p(\Omega_T, m)$. (See the Def. 1.2 in [6] for the **sw**-topology.

The proof of this theorem will be given in section 4.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We collect some properties of the Γ -limits in [1, 2] which are well-known but important for the coming arguments.

If the $\limsup$ in (1.2) is replaced by $\liminf$, the definition 1.1 is turned to the definition of **low** Γ -limit. In this case, we denote it by

$$\lambda = \Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u).$$

Similarly, we have **upper** Γ -limit and denote it by $\lambda = \Gamma^+(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u)$.

Obviously, $\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u)$ exists if and only if $\Gamma^+(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u) = \Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *$F^-(u) = \Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u)$ exists for every $u \in X$, and $F^-(u)$ is lower semicontinuous in (X, τ) . If $F(u) = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^h(u)$ exists for every $u \in X$, then $F(u)$ is also lower semicontinuous in (X, τ) .*

LEMMA 2.2. *For each sequence $\{F^h\}$ of functionals in (X, τ) , there exists a subsequence F^{h_k} and F^∞ from X to $\bar{R}$, such that*

$$F^\infty(u) = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^{h_k}(u) \quad \forall u \in X.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that $\lambda = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F^\varepsilon(u)$ and $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0$ ($h \rightarrow \infty$), then*

$$\Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u) = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u) = \lambda$$

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose that $f: R \times R \rightarrow \bar{R}$, then there exists a function $\delta: \varepsilon \rightarrow \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\delta(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) \leq \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\delta, \varepsilon) \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, the opposite inequality for low limits and the equality for limits hold true respectively.

From now on, we restrict ourselves to the sequence of functionals (1.4), or more general functionals :

$$F^\varepsilon(u, \Omega \times (a, b)) = \int_a^b \int_\Omega f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, Du\right) dx dt, \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \quad (2.2)$$

We will fix $T > 0$ and allow Ω and (a, b) to be arbitrary. Let $S = R^n \times (0, T)$, β_T be the σ -ring generated by the set

$$\{\Omega \times (a, b) : 0 \leq a < b \leq T, \Omega \subset R^n \text{ are bounded open sets}\}.$$

Then (S, β_T, L^{n+1}) is a measure space. Let

$$V_{p,loc} = L^p([0, T], W_{loc}^{1,p}(R^n, R^m)) \quad (2.3).$$

LEMMA 2.5. Assume that (1.4), (1.5) and (1.8) are satisfied. Then for every sequence $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, there exist a subsequence $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0^+$ ($h \rightarrow \infty$) and a family of σ -finite and σ -additive measures $H(u, \Omega \times (a, b))$ on β_T , such that for every $u \in V_{p,loc}$, every finite interval (a, b) and every bounded open set $\Omega \subset R^n$ with $L^n(\partial\Omega) = 0$,

$$\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u, \Omega \times (a, b)) = H(u, \Omega \times (a, b)) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$0 \leq H(u, \Omega \times (a, b)) \leq C \int_a^b \int_\Omega (1 + |Du|^p) dx dt, \quad (2.5)$$

where τ is the **sw**-topology of $V_p(\Omega \times (a, b))$.

Proof. We follow the proof of in [9, Theorem 3.1]. D is used to denote the algebra generated by all open cubes in R^{n+1} with rational vertices and E the class of all bounded open sets in R^{n+1} . Applying lemma 2.2 and a diagonalization argument, we can find a sequence ε_h ($h \rightarrow \infty$) such that $\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u, Q)$ exists for all $Q \in D$, i.e

$$H^-(u, Q) = H^+(u, Q), \quad \forall Q \in D,$$

where

$$H^-(u, Q) = \Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u, Q)$$

and

$$H^+(u, Q) = \Gamma^+(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u, Q).$$

In the same way as in [9, p.738-739], by lemma B in [6], we can prove that H^- is (finitely) super-additive and H^+ is sub-additive over D . For $e \in E$, define

$$H(u, e) = \sup_{Q \subset \subset e} H^-(u, Q) = \sup_{Q \subset \subset e} H^+(u, Q) \quad Q \in D,$$

then $H(u, e)$ is an increasing, inner regular and finitely additive set function. Therefore, the routine methods implies that (2.4) holds and $H(u, \Omega \times (a, b))$ can be extended to a σ -finite and σ -additive measure on β_T (see [11, Prop. 5.5 and Theorem 5.6]). From (2.4) and (1.5), the estimate (2.5) follows immediately.

3. Γ -LIMITS OF LAYERED AFFINE FUNCTIONS

Throughout this section, suppose that (1.4), (1.5) and (1.8) are satisfied. τ is used to denote the **sw**-topology of $V_p(\Omega_T, m)$. For simplicity, $V_p(\Omega_T)$ denotes the space $V_p(\Omega_T, m)$. We intend to determine the Γ -limits of $F^\varepsilon(u, \Omega_T)$ for $u = \lambda(t) \cdot x + a(t)$ with $\lambda \in L^p([0, T], M(m \times n))$ and $a \in L^p([0, T], R^m)$, where we define the norm on $M(m \times n)$, the space of all real $m \times n$ matrices, as the same as on R^{mn} .

LEMMA 3.1. *For each $u_{\lambda, a} = \lambda(t) \cdot x + a(t)$ with*

$$\lambda \in L^p([0, T], M(m, n)) \quad \text{and} \quad a \in L^p([0, T], R^m),$$

there exists a sequence of functions $\{u^\varepsilon\} \subset V_p(\Omega_T)$ satifying

$$\{u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a}\} \subset V_p^0(\Omega_T) \quad \text{and} \quad u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda, a} \quad \text{in} \quad V_p(\Omega_T) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$$

such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dx dt = F(u_{\lambda, a}, \Omega_T),$$

where $\bar{f}(t, \lambda)$ is given by (1.6) and F by (1.7).

Proof. Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$, one can choose $k \in N$ and $\phi^\delta \in V_p^0(k_T, m)$ (see (1.6)) such that

$$\bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) \leq |kY|^{-1} \int_{kY} f(y, t, \lambda + D\phi^\delta) dy \leq \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) + \delta. \quad (3.1)$$

We use E_η^* to denote the extension of $\eta\bar{Y}$ on the ηY -period, and let

$$\Omega_\eta^* = \{e \in E_\eta^*, e \subset \Omega\}, \quad E_\eta = \bigcup_{e \in E_\eta^*} e, \quad \Omega_\eta = \bigcup_{e \in \Omega_\eta^*} e,$$

then $E_\eta = R^n$. As Ω is bounded, Ω_η^* is a finite set for each $\eta > 0$, and

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} L^n(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\eta) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

For every $t \in [0, T]$, extend $\phi^\delta(y, t)$ such that it is a kY -periodic function on the variable y , then define

$$v^{\varepsilon, \delta}(x, t) = \begin{cases} u_{\lambda, a}(x, t) + \varepsilon \phi^\delta(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t), & \Omega_{\varepsilon k} \\ u_{\lambda, a}(x, t), & \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon k} \end{cases}. \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to know that $v^{\varepsilon, \delta} \in V_p(\Omega_T)$, $v^{\varepsilon, \delta} - u_{\lambda, a} \in V_p^0(\Omega_T)$. For each $D \in \Omega_{\varepsilon k}$, by the periodicity of

$$g(y, t) = f(y, t, \lambda(t) + D\phi^\delta(y, t)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D \times (0, T)} f(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, Dv^{\varepsilon, \delta}) dx dt &= \int_0^T [\varepsilon^n \int_{D/\varepsilon} f(y, t, \lambda(t) + D\phi^\delta(y, t)) dy] dt \\ &= L^n(D) \int_0^T dt |kY|^{-1} \int_{kY} f(y, t, \lambda(t) + D\phi^\delta) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Summing up the both sides for all $D \in \Omega_{\varepsilon k}$ and applying (3.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k}) \int_0^T \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) dt &\leq \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon k}} f(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, Dv^{\varepsilon, \delta}) dx \\ &\leq L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k}) \int_0^T (\bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) + \delta) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (1.5) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k}) \int_0^T \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) dt &\leq \int_{\Omega_T} f(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, Dv^{\varepsilon, \delta}) dx dt \\ &\leq L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k}) \int_0^T (\bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) + \delta) dt + \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon k}} f(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda(t)) dx \\ &\leq L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k}) \int_0^T (\bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) + \delta) dt + CL^n(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon k}) \int_0^T (1 + |\lambda|^p) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By this estimate and (3.2), we see that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega_T} f(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, Dv^{\varepsilon, \delta}) dx dt = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) dt dx. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|v^{\varepsilon, \delta} - u_{\lambda, a}\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)}^p = \varepsilon^p \sum_{D \in \Omega_{\varepsilon k}} |D| \int_0^T dt |kY|^{-1} \int_{kY} |\phi^\delta|^p dy. \quad (3.7)$$

Applying (3.6), (3.7), and lemma 2.4, one can find a sequence

$$\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$$

such that $\{u^\varepsilon = v^{\varepsilon, \delta(\varepsilon)} : \varepsilon > 0\}$ satisfy that

$$\{u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a}\} \subset V_p^0(\Omega_T), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a}\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)}^p = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \Omega_T) = F(u_{\lambda, a}, \Omega_T).$$

On the other hand, the coercive condition in (1.5) and (3.5) imply that $\{Du^\varepsilon\}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega_T, R^{mn})$. Thus, by lemma B in [6], we obtain that $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda, a}$. This proves the desired result.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $u_{\lambda, a}(x, t) = \lambda(t) \cdot x + a(t)$ be the same as in lemma 3.1. Then for each sequence $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda, a}$ in $V_p(\Omega_T)$ ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$),*

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \Omega_T) \geq F(u_{\lambda, a}, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) dt dx.$$

Proof . (1) Firstly, assume $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda, a}$ and $u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a} \in V_p^0(\Omega_T)$. As Ω is bounded, we find an open cube D whose sides are parallel to axes and whose center coincides with the origin, such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset D$. The side length of D is denoted by $2d$, and let

$$k_\varepsilon = \left[\frac{2d}{\varepsilon} \right] + 3, \quad a_\varepsilon = \left[-\frac{d}{\varepsilon} \right],$$

$$x_\varepsilon = (a_\varepsilon, \dots, a_\varepsilon) \in R^n, \quad D_\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon Y),$$

where $[\kappa]$ denote the maximum integer not greater than κ . It is not difficult to get

$$D \subset D_\varepsilon, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L^n(D_\varepsilon) = L^n(D). \quad (3.8)$$

Let

$$Q = D \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \quad Q_T = Q \times (0, T). \quad (3.9)$$

Applying lemma 3.1 to the open set Q , we can choose a sequence

$$v^\varepsilon \rightarrow u_{\lambda, a} \quad \text{sw in } V_p(Q_T), \quad v^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a} \in V_p^0(Q_T)$$

such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, Q_T) = \int_Q \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dx dt. \quad (3.10)$$

For fixed $t \in [0, T]$, define

$$\phi^\varepsilon(x, t) = \begin{cases} u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a}, & x \in \bar{\Omega} \\ v^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda, a}, & x \in D \setminus \bar{\Omega} = Q \\ 0, & x \in D_\varepsilon \setminus D \end{cases}. \quad (3.11)$$

By the periodicity of $f(y, t, \lambda)$, using the variable transformation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon(x, t)\right) dx &= \varepsilon^n \int_{x_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon Y} f(y, t, \lambda + D_x \phi^\varepsilon(\varepsilon y, t)) dy \\ &= (k_\varepsilon \varepsilon)^n |k_\varepsilon Y|^{-1} \int_{k_\varepsilon Y} f(y, t, \lambda + D\psi^\varepsilon(y, t)) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\psi^\varepsilon(y, t) = \varepsilon^{-1} \phi^\varepsilon(\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon), t)$. Obviously, (3.11) gives us

$$\psi^\varepsilon \in V_p^0((k_\varepsilon Y) \times (0, T)).$$

Thus, we deduce, from (3.12) and (1.6) yield that for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$|D_\varepsilon|^{-1} \int_{D_\varepsilon} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon(x)\right) dx = |k_\varepsilon Y|^{-1} \int_{K_\varepsilon Y} f(y, t, \lambda + D\psi^\varepsilon(y, t)) dy \geq \bar{f}(t, \lambda).$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^T \int_{D_\varepsilon} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon\right) dx dt \geq L^n(D_\varepsilon) \int_0^T \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dt.$$

On the other hand, by (1.5) and (3.8), we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^T dt \int_{D_\varepsilon} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon\right) dx dt = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^T dt \int_D f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon\right) dx.$$

This yields

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u_{\lambda, a} + \phi^\varepsilon, D \times (0, T)) \geq \int_0^T \int_D \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dx dt.$$

Combing this estimate, (3.9), (3.10) with (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \Omega_T) &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [F^\varepsilon(u_{\lambda, a} + \phi^\varepsilon, D \times (0, T)) - F^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, Q \times (0, T))] \\ &\geq \int_0^T \int_D \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_Q \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dx dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, \lambda) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

(2) In order to remove the restriction $u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a} \in V_p^0(\Omega_T)$, it is sufficient to apply the *De Giorgi's* arguments and the result of the case (1). See [11], or [8, p.197] for the details.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $\{\Omega_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, h\}$ be a finite partition of Ω into open sets (except for a set of measure zero), $\lambda_i \in L^p([0, T], M(m, n))$, $a_i \in L^p([0, T], R^m)$. We call the function

$$W(x, t) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i(t) \cdot x + a_i(t), & x \in \Omega_i \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^h \Omega_i \end{cases}$$

a L^p -layered affine function on Ω_T .

To sum up lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 (observing that Ω maybe arbitrary there), lemmas 2.5 and 2.3, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. Suppose that Ω is a bounded open set in R^n with $L^n(\partial\Omega) = 0$, $H(u, \Omega_T)$ is given by lemma 2.5, then

$$\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\varepsilon(w, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, Dw) dx dt = H(w, \Omega_T)$$

for any w , a L^p -layered affine function on Ω_T .

4 . A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we suppose that all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Applying the same argument as in [9, Section 5], we can prove that for almost $t \in [0, T]$, $\bar{f}(t, \lambda)$ is convex if $n = 2$; and convex with respect to each column vector if $n > 2$. This implies that

LEMMA 4.1. For a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, $\bar{f}(t, \lambda)$ is continuous in $M(m, n)$.

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose $v \in V_p(\Omega_T)$ ($1 < p < \infty$), then there exists a sequence of L^p -layered affine functions :

$$v^k(x, t) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i^k(t) \cdot x + a_i^k(t), & x \in \Omega_i \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{h_k} \Omega_i \end{cases}$$

such that $\|v - v^k\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $1 < p \leq 2$. Fix $v \in V_p(\Omega_T)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $u \in V_2(\Omega_T)$ such that

$$\|u - v\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} < \varepsilon. \quad (4.1)$$

Because $H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}} W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space, one can assume that $\{\psi_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ is its complete orthonormal basis. Let

$$C_l(t) = \langle u(t, \cdot), \psi_l \rangle_H,$$

then $C_l(t) \in L^2[0, T]$, and for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$

$$I_k(t) = \|u - \sum_{l=1}^k C_l \psi_l(x)\|_H \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus the domainnated convergence theorem implies that for some integer k

$$\|u - \sum_{l=1}^k C_l \psi_l\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (4.2)$$

It is well known that there exist piecewise affine functions $\omega_l(x)$ in Ω such that

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq k} \|\psi_l - \omega_l\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon(1 + \sum_{l=1}^k \|C_l\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{-1}).$$

Let

$$v^\varepsilon(x, t) = \sum_{l=1}^k C_l(t) \omega_l(x),$$

then

$$\|v^\varepsilon - \sum_{l=1}^k C_l \psi_l\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \leq C(p) \varepsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

Combing (4.1), (4.2) with (4.3), we get

$$\|v - v^\varepsilon\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \leq C(m, n, p) \varepsilon.$$

Observing that each v^ε can be written as a layered function on Ω_T , we have completed the proof.

(2) Suppose $2 < p < \infty$. Applying *Sobolev* embedding theorem we can find an integer k , $\frac{k-1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$, such that

$$H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W^{k,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Given $v \in V_p(\Omega_T)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $u \in L^p([0, T], W^{k,2}(\Omega))$ such that

$$\|u - v\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} < \varepsilon. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $\{\psi_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ be the complete orthonormal basis of the *Hilbert* space H_1 , then

$$C_l(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle u(\cdot, t), \psi_l \rangle_{H_1} \in L^p[0, T].$$

The remaining part is entirely the same as the case (1).

Now we are in the position to **prove Theorem 1.5**. We will use the idea of [9, p.750-751]. For $u \in V_p(\Omega_T)$, we can extend u such that $u \in V_{p,loc}$ (recall (2.3)). From lemma 4.2, choose a sequence of L^p -layered functions $\omega^k(x, t)$, such that

$$\|u - \omega^k\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \quad (4.5)$$

By taking a subsequence, one can assume that $D\omega^k \rightarrow Du$ almost everywhere on Ω_T and

$$\bar{f}(t, D\omega^k) \longrightarrow \bar{f}(t, Du) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T$$

by the virtue of the continuity of $\bar{f}(t, \cdot)$ (see Lemma 4.1).

We deduce, from the absolute continuity of $\int |Du|^p dxdt$, Egoroff theorem, theorem 3.4 and inequality (2.5), that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, D\omega^k) dxdt \leq \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, Du) dxdt.$$

Therefore, by the semi-continuity of $H(u, \Omega_T)$ (see lemma 2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} H(u, \Omega_T) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(\omega^k, \Omega_T) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, D\omega^k) dxdt \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, Du) dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, according to lemma 2.5 and *Lebesgue-Nikodym* theorem (see §3 of Ch.3 in [12]), we have

$$H(u, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} h(x, t) dxdt \quad (4.7)$$

for some $h \in L^1_{loc}(R^n \times (0, T))$ and all $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. By approximation argument, one can easily prove that for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$, there exists $r_k \rightarrow 0^+$ such that

$$\frac{u(x + r_k(y - x), t) - u(x, t)}{r_k} \xrightarrow{\tau} Du(x, t) \cdot (y - x) \quad \text{in } V_p(B(x, 1) \times (0, T)). \quad (4.8)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T h(x, t) dt - \int_0^T |B(x, r_k)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r_k)} h(y, t) dy dt \right| \\ & \leq |B(x, r_k)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r_k)} \left| \int_0^T [h(x, t) - h(y, t)] dt \right| dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^T h(y, t) dt \in L_{loc}^1(R^n),$$

so

$$\int_0^T h(x, t) dt = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T |B(x, r_k)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r_k)} h(y, t) dy dt \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (4.9)$$

Fix k and x , set

$$r = r_k, \quad B_r = B(x, r), \quad B_{r,T} = B_r \times (0, T).$$

By (4.7) and lemma 2.5, we can find a sequence

$$u^h \xrightarrow{\tau} u \quad \text{in } V_p(B_{r,T})(h \rightarrow 0)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |B_r|^{-1} \int_{B_r} h(y, t) dy dt &= |B_r|^{-1} H(u, B_{r,T}) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T |B_r|^{-1} \int_{B_r} f\left(\frac{y + \varepsilon_h k_h}{\varepsilon_h}, t, Du^h\right) dy dt, \quad \left(k_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\frac{x(r-1)}{\varepsilon_h}\right]\right) \\ &\geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T |B_{\frac{r}{2}}|^{-1} \int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} f\left(\frac{y + x(r-1)}{\varepsilon_h}, t, Du^h(y + a_h, t)\right) dy dt \\ &\quad \left(\text{note that } a_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x(r-1) - \varepsilon_h k_h \rightarrow 0^+\right) \\ &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T |B_{\frac{1}{2}}|^{-1} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} f\left(\frac{ry}{\varepsilon_h}, t, D(u^h(x + r(y-x) + a_h, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u(x, t))r^{-1}\right) dy dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Let $u_{r,x}(y, t) = r^{-1}[u(x + r(y-x), t) - u(x, t)]$. Obviously

$$r^{-1}[u^h(x + r(y-x) + a_h, t) - u(x, t)] \xrightarrow{\tau} r^{-1}u_{r,x} \quad \text{in } V_p(B_{\frac{1}{2},T}) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$\delta_h = r^{-1}\varepsilon_h, \quad a = |B_{\frac{1}{2}}|^{-1}, \quad F^-(u, Q) = \Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\delta_h}(u, Q).$$

By (4.10), lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, (4.8) and theorem 3.4 in that order, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T |B(x, r_k)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r_k)} h(y, t) dy dt &\geq a \cdot \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^-(u_{r_k,x}, B_{\frac{1}{2},T}) \\ &\geq a \cdot F^-(Du(x, t)(y-x), B_{\frac{1}{2},T}) \\ &= a \int_0^T \int_{B(x, \frac{1}{2})} \bar{f}(t, Du(x, t)) dt dy \\ &= \int_0^T \bar{f}(t, Du(x, t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combing this estimate with (4.9), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_T} h(x, t) dx dt \geq \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(x, Du) dx dt,$$

which together with (4.7) implies the opposite inequality of (4.6). Hence

$$H(u, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, Du) dx dt \quad \forall u \in V_p(\Omega_T).$$

Observing that

$$F(u, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, Du) dx dt$$

is independent of $\{\varepsilon_h\}$, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2 by lemma 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Attouch, Variational convergence for functions and operators, Appl. Math. Series, Pitman, 1984 .
- [2] G. Dal Maso, An introduction to Γ -convergence, Boston Basel: Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [3] E. De Giorgi, New problems in Γ -convergence and G-convergence in Free Boundary Problems, Proc. of seminar held in Pavia, September-October 1979, Ist. Naz. Alt. Mat. Francesco Severi, Vol. II, 183-194, Rome, 1980.
- [4] L. Bronsard and R.V. Kohn, Motion by mean curvature as the singular limit of Ginzburg-Landau Dynamics, *J. Differ. Eqn.* **90** (1991), 211-237.
- [5] N. C. Owen, J. Rubinstein and P. Sternberg, Minimizers and gradient flows for singularly perturbed bi-stable potentials with a Dirichlet condition, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond Ser.A* **429** (1990), 505-532.
- [6] H.Y. Jian, A relation between Γ -convergence of functionals and their associated gradient flows, Science in China, Ser. A, 42(2)(1999), 133-139.
- [7] H.Y. Jian, Homogenization problems of parabolic minima, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* **12** (1996), 318-327.
- [8] S. Müller, Homogenization of nonconvex integral functionals and elastic materials, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **99** (1987), 187-212.
- [9] E. Weinan, A class of homogenization problems in the calculus of variations, *Comm. pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 733-759.
- [10] H.Y. Jian, Γ -convergence of noncoercive functionals in vector-valued space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (in chinese), *Science in China Ser. A* **24(3)** (1994), 233-240.
- [11] E. De Giorgi and G. Letta, Une notion general de convergence faible pour des fonctions croissantes d'ensemble, *Ann Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CI Sci.* **4** (1977), 61 -99.
- [12] K. Yosida, Functional analysis, 5th Ed, Springer-Verlag, 1975.